

Express Mail No. EL833457118US

Date Mailed February 27, 2002

Atty Docket No. 21511/92177

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
APPLICATION FOR UNITED STATES LETTERS PATENT**

INVENTOR: Michael Babich

TITLE: COMPOSITIONS OF MULTIMERIC PROFILIN FOR
DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT OF ALLERGIES

ATTORNEY: ALICE O. MARTIN
BARNES & THORNBURG
2600 CHASE PLAZA
10 SOUTH LASALLE STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60603
312-357-1313

Doc. No. 124276

202220 86948007 10084638 022702

COMPOSITIONS OF MULTIMERIC PROFILIN FOR DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT OF ALLERGIES

Inventor: Michael Babich

5 BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

This application claims priority from U.S. Provisional Application 60/272,149 filed February 28, 2001.

204220-BE948001
10 Multimers of plant profilin are a preferred form for diagnosis and treatment of allergies. Natural and synthetic whole molecules or fragments that are functional equivalents of the multimers are used 1) as hyposensitizing agents for treatment for allergies; and 2) diagnostic agents for screening patients to determine profilin allergenicity.

15 Profilins are cytoskeletal proteins expressed in all eukaryotic cells that sequester G-actin and bind to membrane-associated phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate (Carlsson *et al.*, 1976; Theriot and Mitchison, 1993; Sohn and Goldschmidt-Clermont, 1994; Goldschmidt-Clermont and Janmey, 1991; Baalout, 1996; Lassing and Lindberg, 1985; Valenta *et al.*, 1993), thereby affecting both cell morphology and signal transduction. Profilins have been identified and purified from multiple sources (e.g., human cells, tree, grass, weed pollens) and have been produced
20 by recombinant DNA technology (Valenta *et al.*, 1992a, b; Vrtala *et al.*, 1996a, b; Susani, 1995; Pauli *et al.*, 1996; Kwitakowski and Bruns, 1988; Honore *et al.*, 1993).

The existence of human profilin multimers (i.e., profilin self-associations) was first reported by Babich *et al.* (1996) in which tetramers (complexes of four profilin molecules interconnected; to form profilin₄) were identified as the relevant high-
25 affinity actin-binding form. Immunoblots, capillary zone electrophoresis and sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) analyses were interpreted to show that human profilin monomers of approximately 14.8 kDa molecular weight form multimers comprised mostly of dimers (profilin₂) and tetramers. Furthermore, functional significance was inferred by actin preferentially

binding to the tetrameric form of profilin. Subsequently, another study showed that profilins from birch, human, and yeast self-associate (Mitterman, *et al.*, 1998), but neither function nor clinical significance was addressed.

There is a wide range of homology (~40-99%) among the various profilin monomers identified from humans, plants, and animals. Structural analysis has been reported that revealed remarkable homology between profilins from different plant species (Valenta *et al.*, 1992; Vrlala *et al.*, 1996). However, plant profilins appear to share common antibody recognition sites (epitopes); specifically, profilin-sensitive allergy patient IgE antibodies cross-react with different profilins. In addition, rabbit polyclonal antibodies raised against recombinant birch profilin (Valenta and Kraft, 1995) cross-react with virtually all plant profilins reported. The available data indicate that profilin from one plant source can cross-sensitize an individual to several plant species and may explain why some patients with Type I hypersensitivities display reactions to a wide range of distantly related pollens and foods.

An estimated 44 million patients (from North America, Europe, and Japan) suffer from Type I allergies to profilin which is found in plants, animals, and substances such as latex. Type I allergy symptoms include hay fever, runny nose, itching, wheezing and skin reactions, as well as the highly publicized fatal reactions to microscopic amounts of peanut. Type I allergies are also associated with the development of asthma. Thus, any aspects that are unique to profilin may, in turn, provide a basis for further study and development of allergy diagnosis and treatment.

European studies have reported that profilin isoforms isolated from various plant sources may act as generic-, or pan-allergens (Valenta *et al.*, 1992a, b; 1991; Valenta and Kraft, 1995) and that approximately 20% of all pollen-allergic patients (with Type I allergies) display IgE reactivity to recombinant birch profilin (Valenta *et al.*, 1992a, b; 1991; Valenta and Kraft 1995). A recombinant birch profilin, as well as natural profilins from birch, timothy grass and mugwort, are able to elicit IgE-mediated histamine release from basophils of pollen-allergic patients. A study of the North American population by the present inventor (Psaradellis *et al.*, 2000) also demonstrated sensitivity of allergic patients to profilin. Thus, profilin may be a pan-

10084638-022702
202220-8594807

allergen as well as being responsible for the sensitization and maintenance of a significant number of Type I allergic patients.

As discussed and cited in the work reporting discovery of human profilin multimeric forms (Babich, *et al.*, 1996), investigators had not considered the existence of profilin multimers to have any relevance, even to the extent that they were often dismissed as extraneous proteins. Previous investigators were either unaware that plant profilin multimers existed, or did not address the issue of profilin multimers as an allergenic form. However, little is known regarding the biological importance of protein aggregation/self-association. The phenomenon is generally thought of as a biochemical attraction that takes place in which a biological role, if any, remains elusive. Even if a biological role is discovered, a clinical role does not necessarily become obvious. For example, in the allergy field, there are no specific multimeric proteins being used to date for hyposensitization shots to set a precedent for the present application.

Overall, very few specific allergens have been identified; therefore identification of specific allergenic forms of profilin would be clinically useful. Consequently, few compositions are available for injection of a purified, specific causative allergy agent to induce hyposensitization. Most medication is directed towards treating allergy symptoms, but not the cause. Vaccination is the only treatment which is closest to curative; it is able to change the immune-system reaction pattern, stopping symptoms and, in certain patients, preventing the escalation of hay fever to asthma. Vaccines are used as hyposensitizing agents to convert the type of immunoglobulin/antibody response of the patient from IgE to predominantly IgG (also referred to as "sero-conversion"). IgE is the common response of the patients to clear their bodies of the allergen, but it also evokes side effects that are the commonly known allergy symptoms (e.g., runny nose, wheezing lungs, itchy eyes, skin rash, nausea), whereas IgG can help remove the allergen without such side effects. Successful hyposensitization vaccines thus render an IgG response that is minor compared to the elevated IgE levels against a given allergen.

Allergy vaccination treatments to date mostly consist of injection of a cocktail of extracts from allergenic substances, such as grass pollen, to which the patient is

20220828 10084638

allergic. Cocktails are used because very few specific allergens are identified. By gradually increasing the dosage, the patient's immune response will change and the patient will eventually no longer show an allergic reaction to the allergens via sero-conversion. The availability of such compositions thereby improves treatment, but a
5 more specific allergen (rather than cocktail) would be more effective, reproducibly prepared, and generally have less side effects.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

An aspect of the present invention is identification of profilin multimers as an allergenic form.

10 With regard to allergenicity, larger antigens such as multimers could present additional epitopes to elicit a greater IgE-mediated histamine release. This possibility was explored leading to the present invention, aspects of which include 1) that plant profilin forms multimers; and 2) multimeric forms are more allergenic than monomers. Recombinant plant profilin multimerization was studied and
15 immunoassays were developed to assess IgE reactivity of individuals to plant profilin. The correlation between Type I hypersensitivities and reactivity to plant profilin within a population was examined in the U.S. (Illinois) and found to support the idea that profilin is a pan allergen in 20-30% of patients. Therefore, diagnostic and therapeutic uses of profilin multimers will have significant clinical impact.

20 The invention relates methods and compositions to hyposensitize a mammal. The compositions include production and/or purification of naturally occurring, synthetic, or recombinantly produced profilin (sources of monomers are listed in Table 3), which yields multimeric forms. The methods include the steps of:

- 25 (a) obtaining an immunogenic composition comprising multimeric profilin; and
(b) administering an effective dose of the composition successively in incremental doses until the mammal is hyposensitized.

The invention also relates utilizing multimeric profilin compositions for diagnostic means by immunoassays such as:

10084638.022702

- (a) administering an effective dose of compositions comprising multimeric profilin via established RAST (radio-allergosorbent test) or any skin tests known to those of skill in the art to diagnose patient sensitivity to profilin; and
- (b) applying said composition in a tissue, blood, serum or plasma assay (e.g., enzyme-linked immunosorbant assay/ELISA; radioimmunoassay/RIA; immuno-radiometric assay/IRMA; RAST; luminescence immunoassay/LIA; magnetic allergeoabsorbent test/MAT) to detect patient reactivity against profilin.

The profilin multimers may be in the form of a natural or synthetic peptide or polypeptide, or made by recombinant methods. The compositions may include pharmaceutically acceptable carriers or diluents known to those of skill in the art. Administration may be via parenteral, oral, nasal, inhalant or rectal routes. Treatment dosage is the amount which is sufficient to produce clinical effectiveness as measured by reduced IgE-related symptoms; diagnostic dosage is the amount which is sufficient to produce a measurable reaction in the respective procedure (e.g., skin tests = irritations; biological assay = detection of patient IgE binding to profilin).

Given that 1) 20-30% of Type I allergy patients have IgE that reacts with profilin; and 2) the present discovery of allergenic profilin multimers, then profilin multimers or congeners thereof (i.e., something closely resembling multimeric profilin or analogous to it) are important for diagnostic and vaccine treatment of these allergy types.

In agreement with profilin sizes determined from other sources (e.g., human, birch pollen) silver-stained SDS-PAGE gels and immunoblot analyses revealed that a significant 14.8 kDa protein was purified from *Escherichia coli* transformed with the cDNA of a plant (*Zea mays*) profilin isoform (ZmPRO1). Higher molecular weight proteins (particularly 60 kDa and 30 kDa) were also observed, which became predominant and larger (> 90 kDa) in the absence of reducing agents. Human IgE reactivity to profilin was measured by enzyme linked immunosorbant assay (ELISA) that was developed using patient serum samples classified as either negative (no Type I allergies), positive (Type 1 plant allergies) or miscellaneous (i.e. allergies other than classical Type I plant allergies). The IgE-ZmPRO1 complexes were seen in three of

nine patients with Type I plant allergies, compared with one of eight negative controls and three of 14 from the miscellaneous category. Dot filtration immunoblots were subsequently developed to absorb profilin diluted in the presence or absence of reducing agent to yield mostly monomeric or multimeric profilin, respectively.

5 Immunoglobulin E from positive patients displayed a greater intensity of binding to ZmPRO1 under conditions that favored profilin multimers. In summary, recombinant ZmPRO1 profilin forms multimers and is suitable for a developed ELISA. Profilin has pan-allergenic potential, and profilin multimers have greater immunogenicity than monomers.

10 The combination of near-capacity protein loading and a relatively more sensitive SDS-PAGE staining procedure to identify the additional protein bands, compared with typical reports with Coomassie blue protein staining, may account for identifying multimer forms. In addition, plant and human profilin may be similar in their ability to resist chemical reduction. Computer-based molecular modeling of
15 human profilin suggested a profilin-profilin interaction might occur that protects some of the disulfide bonds from harsh reducing agents (FIG. 5).

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 shows a photograph of silver-stained SDS-PAGE separation of *Escherichia coli* transformed with *Zea mays* Zm PRO1 cDNA; the protein encoded by
20 ZmPRO1 cDNA was purified by affinity bead slurry separation as described in the Materials and Methods; lane 1, non-transformed *E. coli* (negative control); lane 2, *E. coli* containing the pET23a/ZmPRO1 vector; lane 3, *E. coli* containing the pET23a/ZmPRO1 vector + IPTG to induce expression of the profilin protein; arrows show monomeric profilin; protein molecular weight marker migrations are listed on
25 the right (in kDa).

FIG. 2 shows a photograph of an affinity column purified *Zea mays* Zm PRO1 from transformed *Escherichia coli*; (a) results are similar to silver-stained SDS-PAGE in FIG. 1, but profilin is separated by affinity column chromatography as described herein in the Materials and Methods; lane 1, under reducing conditions (+ β -
30 mercaptoethanol (BME); arrow depicts location of monomeric profilin; lane 2, under

20220809 10084638 022702

non-reducing conditions (-BME); (b) corresponding immunoblot of samples run in parallel with (a) lane 3, + BME; lane 4, - BME; rabbit anti-ZmPRO1 and horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary goat anti-rabbit IgG method was used to visualize immunologically distinct profilin proteins; protein molecular weight marker
5 migrations are listed on the left (in kDa).

FIG. 3 shows a photograph of wells from an enzyme-linked immunosorbant assay developed for plant profilin; wells 1-2, using secondary antibody alone; wells 3-4, using primary antibody + secondary antibody; wells 5-6, using primary antibody + secondary antibody + *Zea mays* Zm PRO1 profilin; tris-buffered saline + ZmPRO1
10 profilin (*i.e.* negative control) gave no measurable optical density (not shown).

FIG. 4 shows a dot-filtration immunoblot of *Zea mays* ZmPRO1 profilin and human IgE. Profilin was adsorbed and filtered onto a dot-filtration apparatus under conditions that favor either monomers [+ β -mercaptoethanol (BME)] or multimers (-BME), prior to addition of serum from patients declaring allergies [serum (+)] or
15 without allergies [serum (-)]; control, rabbit anti-ZmPRO1 antibody (positive control); triplicate well determinations of the colorimetric assay are shown for all samples (background, -BME + profilin + secondary antibody + metal diaminobenzidine substrate; the background was no different when + BME was included); quantitative values (mean \pm SEM) for the intensity of darkness were calculated as described herein
20 in the Material and Methods and are presented next to each row; student's t-test revealed significance levels of *P < 0.05 or **P < 0.01 for -BME versus corresponding +BME rows consisting of a protein with an amino acid sequence.

FIG. 5 shows computer-based molecular analysis of profilin self-association. The structure of crystalline profilin human profilin I (Metzler *et al.*, 1995) was
25 downloaded onto a computer for molecular modeling and analyses of the "best fit" to for dimerization. Software to analyze profilin structures included: QUANTA (the core program; Molecular modeling, graphics and manipulation for quality graphics and stereochemical insight), CHARMM (Chemistry at Harvard Molecular modeling; via the Karplus laboratory at Harvard, MA) for multiple physical chemistry
30 manipulations (e.g., interactions, reactions, free energy calculations, energy

minimization, etc.); UHBD (University of Houston Brownian Dynamics suite of programs from the McCammon laboratory) for Poisson-Boltzmann calculations, Brownian dynamics, pK_a , enzyme-substrate interactions; UHBDINT to interface QUANTA with UHBD; QPROTN, for protein modeling system to predict 3-D structures from primary amino acid sequences using homology modeling; search and retrieve 3-D crystal structures of homologous sequences to the target sequence and construct models based on such structures. A high probability form of profilin self-association is shown in FIG. 5 Key:

Grey = represents structures of two human profilin I molecules that constitute the dimer, each containing the following:

White = actin binding domains

Black = cysteine residues with associated sulphur groups

Among the possible sulphhydryl bonding between the three integral cysteines (amino acid position numbers 16, 70, 127) numbers 16 and 127, each from a different protein moiety (the two mostly grey interconnected structures), were nearby and available in a conducive steric fit to form two disulfide bridges (S-S bonds) between the twin molecules (arrow pointing to the black interconnected molecules). Three dimensional conformation also revealed a relatively protective pocket surrounding the S-S bonds. A near 90 degree turn is observed between the two profilins with the actin binding domains and cysteine #70 accessible (e.g., can be seen as the black exposed molecule on the right profilin moiety). Unique regions that occur as a consequence of profilin-profilin binding (shown between the brackets) represent putative epitopes for allergic/IgE reactions, and therefore have amino acid sequences that can be used to develop novel peptides for the treatment and diagnosis of profilin-related type I allergies.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Allergy patients' IgE has been shown to cross react among profilins from different sources. The present invention is directed to profilins that are related to allergens which exist in a variety of plant species (trees, grass, weeds), foods such as peanut, and to the profilins found in humans. A novel aspect of the invention is that

profilin multimers are the preferred, if not the exclusive, allergenic form. The size of the multimeric is greater than the monomeric form, including those wherein multiples of a monomer (approximately 14 kDa) could range in size at or between 28, 36, and 60 kDa, or those multimers above 100 kDa as described for the SDS-PAGE gels of FIGS. 1 and 2. The multimers may arise from self-association of profilin with the same identity (homomultimers), or may arise from cross-association between profilins (heteromultimers). An example of heteromultimers would be where the family of corn pollen profilins bind each other to form multimers; another example would be cross species heteromultimers (e.g., corn-birch pollen profilin complexes). Although heteromultimers have not been detected, conceivably a unique sequence may be identified from such heteromultimers that is ultimately the most potent and generically applicable form for treatment and/or diagnosis of profilin-sensitive type I allergies.

Profilin multimers may be made by methods known to those skilled in the art: e.g. by purification from their original sources in nature, by chemical synthesis, or recombinant DNA technology. The profilin or profilin peptides and fragments are subsequently purified by common affinity chromatography methods using poly-l-proline (Babich, *et al.*, 1996; Janmey, 1991) or HPLC (high performance liquid chromatography). The term "synthetic" used herein includes all peptides and polypeptides produced by cloning and expression of the nucleotide sequences (Psaradellis, *et al.*, 2000; Sambrook and Russell, 2001) or by commercially available chemical synthesis based upon the encoded nucleotide sequences, or a specifically designed amino acid sequence derived from known profilin amino acid sequences.

In addition to making whole profilin molecules that multimerize, synthetic peptides may also have the following requirements to make novel profilin-based peptides and polypeptides for therapy and diagnostics: 7-21 amino acids in length, contain at least one proline, and contain at least one acidic amino acid. The requirements are derived from a collective prediction from each of the following: 1) a minimum size that can be made efficiently, is sufficient for immune recognition, yet small enough to reduce potential side effects compared to larger molecules; 2) bends that occur within and among profilins upon multimerization can be mimicked in the

peptides by proline; 3) charged amino acids for water solubility and potentially facilitate antibody-peptide interactions; 4) a plausible multimeric structure for profilin multimers was deduced from computer modeling (FIG. 5) which is consistent with a role for prolines and charged residues on the outside. Therefore, a person with IgE
5 most likely would produce antibodies against the exposed portion of profilin complexes that result from multimerization or to a portion of the amino acid sequence from one profilin molecule that continues the sequence from the adjoining additional profilin molecule shown in the FIG. 5.

Table 3 shows the sequences of profilins with allergic potential. The
10 sequences form the basis to develop multimeric profilins and, given the previously described parameters, to make peptide fragments for allergy treatment and diagnoses

The ability of plant profilin to form clinically relevant multimers from human and a variety of plant species is a novel aspect of the present invention. The biochemical data and computer-based modeling were in agreement that profilin from
15 various species can form multimers. Furthermore, the data from FIG. 5 show that profilin forms multimers that remain strongly attached due to strong chemical bonds (sulphydryl bonds) that are relatively protected from harsh reducing agents (which normally break such bonds), and the chemical free energy (favorable state) for two profilin molecules is to self-associate. Thus, the nature for two profilin molecules is
20 to self-associate, which would explain why profilin multimers exist along with monomers.

As discussed under Background of the Invention, multimers were previously either unobserved, dismissed as contaminants, or not studied for clinical relevance. Two other reasons become apparent from the results (1) the lack of rabbit anti-plant
25 profilin IgG to recognize human profilin (which forms multimers) could be construed as evidence that plant multimers do not exist because if the antibodies recognized human profilin multimers then it could have pointed investigators to look for plant profilin multimers; and (2) a more sensitive stain was used to detect proteins in the present SDS-PAGE experiments. For example, Coomassie blue was a preferred stain
30 used by others to detect proteins with minimal background, thereby rendering a "cleaner" gel and preferentially detecting the most abundant proteins loaded onto the

10084638.022702

gel. In contrast, silver staining used herein is more sensitive and picks up background proteins. The gels are rather dark and protein bands are sometimes blended in with many other proteins that are close in size, in addition to producing a darker background (e.g., FIGS. 1 and 2). Thus, much of the published work in this area shows Coomassie blue stained gels, which could explain, in part, why the multimers were previously not evident or noted.

Indeed, established methods of profilin isolation have often yielded extraneous and unidentified proteins (discussed in Babich, *et al.*, 1996) that are ≥ 2 times the recognized size of the 12-15 kDa cytoskeletal molecule. These bands were dismissed as contaminations, rather than sought as dimers or other profilin multimers. Furthermore, profilin used in allergy studies was purified or synthesized without determining the composition (mono-multimer) when testing for allergenicity. For instance, other investigators only focused on an assumed profilin monomer as a product for the diagnosis and therapy of allergic diseases to the extent that the very size of profilin monomers (14 kDa) has been used to name (P14) the patented product (Valenta, *et al.*, 1996; US Patent 5,583,046 and US Patent 5,648,242). In contrast, as discussed in Psaradellis *et al.*, 2000 and shown in FIG. 4, profilin multimers are proposed as the preferred, if not exclusive, allergenic form.

Clinical relevance for profilin multimers was obtained by an assay that demonstrated preferential binding of IgE from humans with Type I allergies. Larger profilin multimers were more allergenic due to their size and novel antigenic presentation to a susceptible human, thereby inducing an allergic reaction mediated by IgE. Use of this multimeric property of profilin includes: 1) the treatment of allergies; and 2) in diagnostic methods known to those of skill in the art (e.g., ELISA, RIA, IRMA, RAST, LIA, MAT) to determine patient allergic reactivity. Profilin to be used in these assays includes whole multimer profilin molecules (natural or synthetic), peptide fragments (natural or synthetic), or peptide fragments (synthetic neo-antigens) derived from the profilin structure that are either exposed for reaction upon multimerization or appear uniquely through profilin-profilin interactions. The proteins and peptides are either: a) acquired from plants or human tissues by standard purification methods (e.g., poly-l-proline affinity column purified profilin shown in

FIGS. 1 and 2; or b) made by those skilled in the art using standard commercially available chemical synthesis; or c) recombinant DNA technology.

Profilin was purified from various natural sources using poly(l-proline)-sepharose 4B affinity chromatography as previously described (Babich, *et. al.*, 1996; Psaradellis, *et. al.*, 2000; Janmey, 1991). Whether isolated directly from cells of interest, or synthesized, the profilin was purified away from all other constituents by pouring onto a 10 ml poly(l-proline)-sepharose 4B column. Actin and profilin eluted with 4M and 8M urea, respectively, were concentrated by centrifugation (centriprep-3, Amicon Inc., Beverly, MA). Profilin was initially washed in G-buffer (0.1 mM CaCl₂, 0.2 mM ATP, 0.5 mM DTT, 2 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.2), concentrated (1-3 mg/ml) and stored in 2 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4)/0.1 mM CaCl₂ at -20⁰C until use. The source of profilin may be through recombinant DNA technology (Psaradellis, *et al.*, 2000; Sambrook and Russell, 2001), such as for corn pollen profilin ZmPRO1 described further under Materials and Methods (Expression and purification of ZmPRO1 profilin).

Screening patients for specific allergens is of diagnostic use in a clinical setting. The proteins of the present invention are used to identify allergy patients that are sensitive to profilin and are used as hyposensitizing agents for patient sero-conversion. If a patient has IgE that recognizes profilin, then a hyposensitization reagent (*i.e.* "allergy shot") is developed from the present discovery whereby the IgG becomes the patient's primary immune response to produce clinical benefits (*i.e.*, clear the body of allergen without side effects associated with IgE).

The ELISA developed was subsequently used to measure Type 1 allergy patient IgE recognition of ZmPRO1 profilin. There was one positive reactivity to profilin among patients with no known allergies (one of eight); minimal reactivity in those with miscellaneous (e.g., penicillin, fabric, dust) non-type-I allergies (three of 14) and significant reactivity among those declaring type I allergies to pollen (three of six). The raw data from the three samples that gave a positive reaction to profilin (Table 2) showed a strong IgE reactivity to profilin with minimal background (*i.e.* a relatively high ratio of the optical densities when serum was added to profilin-coated wells vs. non-profilin-coated wells). The results agree with previous work (Valenta,

202208093001

et al., 1992; 1991, and 1995; Pauli 1996) that Type 1 allergy patients are immunoreactive to plant profilin and indicate that the current approach will be useful to screen for patients with type I allergies.

The allergenic potential of profilin monomers and multimers was tested by dot-filtration immunoblot analysis (FIG. 4). Although the ELISA is more sensitive and quantitative, the presence of reducing agents used to favor a monomeric profilin state (due to breaking of the sulphydryl inter-profilin bonds, but with incomplete effects as addressed earlier) would hinder the adsorption of profilin to plastic wells. Thus, a dot blot filtration apparatus was used to remove the reducing agents. In all instances, a greater response was measured from IgE recognition of +BME/profilin (*i.e.* profilin as a predominantly multimeric form) compared with - BME/profilin. In contrast to the relatively weak signal from negative control patients (*i.e.* serum(-), IgE From the positive serum category displayed a significantly greater recognition of profilin (regardless of \pm BME), thereby implicating higher profilin orders as allergens.

Among the nine patients who declared Type 1 allergies to plant pollens, three showed significant reactivity to ZmPRO1 profilin with the developed ELISA. Three additional serum samples from the miscellaneous category (e.g. dust) also yielded a positive response. This might be expected, considering that many Type 1 allergy patients often include dust as an allergen; furthermore, the identification of profilin as an IgE-binding component in latex (Vallier *et al.*, 1995) raises the issue that allergens considered outside the conventional spectrum of type 1 candidates may indeed be recognized by Type 1 allergy patient IgE.

The relatively greater recognition of ZmPRO1 profilin multimers by IgE reveals novel aspects of plant profilin as a proposed pan-allergen. Greater recognition of profilin multimers is not due to simple additive effects, because the same amount of total profilin was added to each well used in the dot-immunoblot experiments. Thus, it appears that profilin multimers act in synergy to either sterically facilitate access to binding sites or to present unique epitopes. It is likely that: (i) more Type 1 allergy patients than previously estimated have IgE that recognize profilin; and (ii) profilin multimers are causative agents for Type 1 allergies.

Table 1 Enzyme-linked immunosorbant assay for human IgE reactivity with ZmPRO1 profilin

<u>Category</u>	<u>+ Reaction</u>	<u>- Reaction</u>
Negative	1	7
Miscellaneous	3	11
<u>Positive</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>6</u>

- 5 Positive (+) or negative (-) reaction of profilin with serum samples from the three patient categories (N = 30 samples; quadruplicate determinations for each point), Zm = *Zea mays*.

Table 2 Raw data from the positive samples

<u>Patient sample no.</u>	<u>OD ($\times 10^{15}$) of + profiling coated wells</u>	<u>Declared Allergies</u>
25	940/80	Hay Fever
74	630/340	Trees, pollen
<u>90</u>	<u>214/12</u>	<u>Trees, grasses, strawberries</u>

- 10 The ratio of optical densities (OD) from profilin-coated versus non-coated wells for the three positive samples identified in Table 1 (mean OD shown; standard errors were within 10% of the mean).

Table 3:

Protein Isoform	Accession	Sequence
Api g4 (celery)	Q9XF37	1 mswqayvddh lmcevegnpg qtltaaiig hdgsvwaqss tfpqikpee agimkdfdep
		61 ghlaplglyl ggakymviqg eonavirgkk gsggvtkkt gqalvfgvyd epvtpgqcnv
		121 iverlgdyli dqgl
Ara h5 (peanut)	Q9SQI9	1 mswqtyvdnh llceiegdhl ssaailgqdg gvwaqsshfp qfkpeeitai mndfaepgsi
		61 aptglylgt kymviqgepg aiipgkkgpg gvtiektnga liigydkpm tpgqcnmive
		121 rlgdyldtg l
Bet v2 (birch tree pollen)	P25816	1 mswqtyvdeh lmcdidgqas nslasaivgh dgsvwaqsss fpqfqpqeit gimkdfleepg
		61 hlaptglhlg gikymviqge agavirgkkg sggitikktg

20220807 10084638 022702

		qalvfgiyee pvtpgqcnmv
		121 verlgdylid qgl
Cyn d12 (bermuda grass)	O04725	1 mswqayvddh lmceieghhl tsaaighdg twaqaafp afkpeemani mkdfdepchl
		61 aptglfgpt kymviqgepg avirgkkgsg gvtvkkgtqa lvigiydepn tpgqcnmvie
		121 klgdyliegg m
Gly m3 (soybean) GmPROI	O65809	1 mswqayvddh llcdiegnhl thaaiigqdg swaqstfcp qfkpeeitai mndfnepgsl
		61 aptglylgt kymviqgepg avirgkkgpg gvtvkkgtga liigiydepn tpgqcnmvve
		121 rpgdylidqg y
Gly m3 (soybean) GmPROII	O65810	1 mswqayvddh llcgiegnhl thaaiigqdg svwlqstfcp qfkpeeitai mndfnepgsl
		61 aptglylgt kymviqgepg avirgkkgpg gvtvkkgtga liigiydepn tpgqcnmvve
		121 rlgdylidqg y
Hel A2 (Sunflower)	O81982	1 mswqayvdeh lmcdiegtgq hltsaaigl dgtvwaqsak fpqfkpeemk giikefdeag
		61 tlaptgmfia gakymlqge pgavirgkkg aggcickktg qamimgiyde pvapggqcnmv
		121 verlgdylle qgm
Hev b8 (latex)	CAB51914	1 mswqayvddh lmceiegnhl saaaigqdg swaqsanfp qfkseeitgi msdfhepgtl
		61 aptglylgt kymviqgepg avirgkkgpg gvtvkktnqa liigiydepn tpgqcnmive
		121 rlgdylidqg y
Hev b8 (latex) Profilin I	O65812	1 mswqtyvder lmceiegnhl taaaiigqdg swaqssnfp qfkseeitai msdfhepgtl
		61 aptglhlgt kymviqgeag avirgkkgpg gvtvrktnqa liigiydepn tpgqcnmive
		121 rlgdylleqg m
Hev b8 (latex) Profilin II	Q9STB6	1 mswqayvddh lmceiegnhl saaaigqdg swaqsanfp qfkseeitgi msdfhepgtl
		61 aptglylgt kymviqgepg avirgkkgpg gvtvkktnqa liigiydepn tpgqcnmive
		121 rlgdylidqg y
Hev b8 (latex) Profilin III	Q9M7N0	1 mswqtyvdeh lmcdidghhl taaaiighdg swaqsssfp qfkpeevaai mkdfdepchl
		61 aptglhlgt kymviqgepg avirgkkgsg gitvkkgtqa

		liigiydepl tpgqcnmive
		121 rlgdylleqg m
Hev b8 (latex) Profilin IV	Q9M7M9	1 mswqtyvddh lmcididghrl taaaiighdg svwaqsssf qfksdevaai mkdfdepqsl
		61 aptghlgst kymviqgepg avirgkkgsg gitvkktsqa liigiydepl tpgqcnmive
		121 rlgdylleqg m
Hev b8 (latex) Profilin V	Q9M7M8	1 mswqtyvddh lmcididghrl taaaiighdg svwaqssgfp qfksdevaav mkdfdepqsl
		61 aptghlggt kymviqgepg avirgkkgsg gitvkkgtqa liigiydepl tpgqcnmive
		121 rlgdylleqg m
Hev b8 (latex) Profilin VI	Q9LEI8	1 mswqtyvddh lmcididghrl taaaiighdg svwaqsssf qfksdevaav mkdfdepqsl
		61 aptghlggt kymviqgepg avirgkkgsg gitvkkgtqa liigiydepl tpgqcnmive
		121 rlgdylldqg l
Mer a1 (Mercurialis Annua)	O49894	1 mswqtyvddh lmcididggq hlaaasivgh dgsiwaqsas fpqlkpeeit gimkdfdepq
		61 hlaptglyia gtkymviqge sgavirgkkg sggitikktg qalvfgiye epvtpgqcnm
		121 verlgdylie eqgm
Ole e2 (olive tree pollen) Profilin I	P19963	1 mswqayvddh lmcdieghed hrltaaaivg hdgsvwaqsa tfpqfkpeem ngimtdfnep
		61 ghlapgtlhl ggtkymviqg eagavirgkk gsggitikkt gqalvfgiye epvtpgqcnm
		121 vverlgdylv eqgm
Ole e2 (olive tree pollen) Profilin II	O24170	1 mswqayvddh lmcdiegheg hrltaaaivg hdgsvwaqsa tfpqfkpeem ngimtdfnep
		61 ghlapgtlhl ggtkymviqg eagavirgkk gsggitikkt gqalvfgiye epvtpgqcnm
		121 vverlgdyl eqgl
Ole e2 (olive tree pollen) Profilin III	O24171	1 mswqayvddh lmcdiegheg hrltaaaivg hdgsvwaqsa tfpqfkpeem ngimtdfnep
		61 ghlapgtlhl ggtkymviqg eagavirgkk gsggitikkt gqalvfgiye epvtpgqcnm
		121 vaerlgdyl eqgl
Phl p11 (timothy grass) Profilin I	P35079	1 mswqtyvdeh lmceieghhl asaailghdg twaqsadfp qfkpeeitgi mkdfdepghl
		61 aptgmfvaga kymviqgepg rvirgkkgag gitikktgqa

20220808

		lvvgiydep m tpgqcnmvve
		121 rlgdylveqg m
Phi p11 (timothy grass) Profilin II/IV	O24650	1 mswqtyvdeh lmceieghhl asaailghdg twaqsadfp qfkpeeitgi mkdfdepghl
		61 aptgmfvaga kymviqgepg avirgkkgag gitikktgqa lvvgiydep m tpgqcnmvve
		121 rlgdylveqg m
Phi p11 (timothy grass) Profilin III	O24282	1 mswqtyvdeh lmceieghhl asaailghdg twaqsadfp qfkpeeitgi mkdldepghl
		61 aptgmfvaaa kymviqgepg avirgkkgag gitikktgqa lvvgiydep m tpgqcnmvve
		121 rlgdylveqg m
Pru av4 (sweet cherry)	Q9XF39	1 mswqayvddh lmcdidgnrl taaailgqdg svwsqsatfp afkpeeiaai lkdldepghl
		61 aptglflggt kymviqgeag avirgkkgsg gitvkktnqa liigiydepl tpgqcnmive
		121 rlgdylveqg l
Pyr c4 (pear)	Q9XF38	1 mswqayvddh lmcdidghhl taaailghdg svwaqsstfp kfkpeeitai mkdfdepghl
		61 aptglhlgt kymviqgegg avirgkkgsg gvtvkktsqa lvfgyiepl tpgqcnmive
		121 rlgdylidqg l
Zm PRO1 (corn) ZmPRO I	P35081	1 mswqtyvdehlmceieghhltsaaivghdgatwaqstafpefkpeema aimkdfdepghl
		61 aptglilggtkymviqgepgavirgkkgsggitvkkktgqs liigiydep m tpgqcnlvve
		121 rlgdylleqgm
Zm PRO1 (corn) ZmPRO II	P35082	1 mswqayvdehlmceieghhlssaaivghdgaawaqstafp efktdmanimkdfdepghl
		61 aptglflgptkymviqgepgavirgkkgsggitvkkktgqalvvgiydep m tpgqcnmvve
		121 rlgdylleqgm
Zm PRO1 (corn) ZmPRO III	P35083	1 mswqtyvdehlmceieghhlssaaivghdg avwaqstafp qfkpeemtni ikdfdepghl
		61 apigflgptkymviqgepg avirgkkgsggitvkkktgqalvvgiydep m tpgqcnmvve
		121 rlgdylveqgl
Zm PRO1 (corn) ZmPRO IV	O22655	1 mswqayvdehlmceieghhlssaaivghdgsvwaqsasfp

18

		elkpeevagiikdfdepgtl
		61 aptglfvvggkymviqgepgvvirgkkggtggitikkgtms liigvydepmtpgqcnmvve
		121 rlgdylieqgf
Zm PRO1 (corn) ZmPRO V	Q9FR39	1 mswqayvddhlldieghlsaaaivghdgsvwaqsenfp elkpeevagmikdfdepgtl
		61 aptglfvvggkymviqgepgvvirgkkggtggitikkgtmsliigiydepmtpg qcnmvve
		121 rlgdylieqgf
Human Profilin I	P07737	1 magwnayidn lmadgtcqda aivgykdsps vwaavpgkft vnitpaevgv lvgkdrssfy
		61 vngltlggqk csvirdslq dgefsmdlirt kstggapftn vtvktcktl vllmgkegvh
		121 gglinkkcy mashiirrsqy
Human Profilin II Isoform a	NP_444252	1 magwqsyvsn lmcgcccqea aivgycdaky vwaataggvf qsitpieidm ivgkdgregff
		61 tngltlgakk csvirdslyv dgdctmdirt ksqggeptyn vavgragrvl vvmgkegvh
		121 ggglnkkays makylrdsqf
Human Profilin II Isoform b	NP_002619	1 magwqsyvsn lmcgcccqea aivgycdaky vwaataggvf qsitpieidm ivgkdgregff
		61 tngltlgakk csvirdslyv dgdctmdirt ksqggeptyn vavgragrvl vvmgkegvh
		121 ggtlnkkaye lalylrdsdv

EXAMPLES

Example 1: Production and Purification of Multimeric Profilin

The present invention relates the production and purification of recombinant ZmPRO1 profilin, which yields multimeric forms. The protein was subsequently

5 identified to be immunologically distinct through western immunoblot analysis and an ELISA that was developed to assess allergy patient IgE reactivity. An apparent tetrameric 60 kDa profilin was found in addition to higher multimeric orders (> 97 kDa) that become amplified under non-reducing conditions. Although relatively high

percentage acrylamide gels were used to visualize and study monomeric or lower

10 multimeric orders of ZmPRO1 profilin, it appears that fastidious high profilin

20220820 1008438-02702

multimers persist; that is, despite exposure to heat and reducing agents to break up multimers, the larger multimeric profilin forms remained prevalent. The ability of plant profilin to form multimers was examined to determine optimal molecular forms for hyposensitization. Purified recombinant protein encoded by the ZmPRO1 cDNA was visualized by silver-stained SDS-PAGE separation (FIGS. 1, 2a). A predominant band at 14.8 kDa was identified in transformed *E. coli*, particularly after IPTG (isopropyl beta-D-thiogalactopyranoside) to induce protein production. Other extraneous bands (> 30 kDa) presumably included multimeric profilin and/or cellular proteins that remain with the more convenient PLP (poly-l-proline) affinity bead slurry. ZmPRO1 profilin separated by column chromatography yields a cleaner preparation that was also studied under both reduced and non-reduced conditions (FIG. 2a). A predominant band appeared as expected for monomeric ZmPRO1 plant profilin (approximately 14.8 kDa) but, consistent with reports for human profilin, higher molecular weight proteins such as higher multimeric profilin orders remained that were resistant to reducing agents. The band at approximately 60 kDa (FIG. 2a, + BME) suggested the formation of a tetramer resistant to reducing agents, in addition to the distinct aggregation of proteins near the top of the gel (> 97 kDa). The larger proteins become more pronounced under non-reducing conditions (FIG 2a, - BME) and are associated with a corresponding loss of monomeric profilin. The presence of stained proteins that remained in the stacking gel further supported the finding of natural protein (*i.e.* profilin) aggregation/multimerization. Any faint protein represented at 14.8 kDa in the absence of reducing agent became more evident on development of corresponding immunoblots with sensitive substrates (FIG. 2b). However, Western immunoblotting gave inconsistent positive identification for the higher molecular weight profilin multimers; presumably this was due to the different efficiencies in transfer of various protein sizes, alternations in net charge that may occur on protein-protein interactions (*e.g.* ionic bonds), difficulty for > 90 kDa profilin multimers in migration from the stacking gel to the separating gel, or a lack of antibody recognition due to epitope masking when profilin aggregates/multimerization occurs. Indeed, differences in the observed Western immunoblot band intensities (monomer > tetramer >> higher orders) support these

explanations. Collectively, the results suggest that immunologically distinct *Zea mays* profilin was produced, purified, and preferentially forms multimers.

Example 2: Evidence that Human Serum From Allergic Individuals Recognizes ZmPRO1

5 An ELISA was developed to further immunologically identify the purified recombinant protein and to provide a means to study whether human serum from allergic individuals recognizes ZmPRO1 profilin immunologically. Six representative control wells are shown (FIG. 4), of which only those coated with the purified protein elicited a significant colorimetric response. In addition, rabbit antihuman profilin IgG
10 did not recognize ZmPRO1 profilin, which is similar to the inability of rabbit anti-plant profilin IgG to recognize human profilin (Karakesisoglou *et al.*, 1996). Thus, a method was established with a clear signal-to-noise ratio that was selective for ZmPRO1 profilin and further verified the production of immunologically distinct plant profilin.

15 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Reagents

The cDNA encoding an isoform of profilin derived from the pollen of *Zea mays* (ZmPRO1;) (Staiger *et al.*, 1993) was provided in a transfection vector (pET23a; Novagen, Madison, WI, USA) with an isopropyl beta-D-
20 thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG)-inducible promoter; polyclonal rabbit IgG that recognizes the protein product encoded by ZmPRO1 cDNA was also provided. Cyanogen bromide (CNBr)-activated sepharose 4B was purchased from Pharmacia (Piscataway, NJ, USA) and poly(L-proline) (PLP; 10000-30000 MW) was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA).

25 Horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated monoclonal antibodies (goat antirabbit IgG, goat antihuman IgE) and silver staining kits were purchased from Pierce Chemical Co. (Rockford, IL, USA).

Patient serum samples

Human serum samples from routine blood draws were obtained from the University of Illinois College of Medicine at Rockford, Office of Family Practice (Rockford, IL, USA) with appropriate patient consent; patient-declared allergies were annotated on each serum container. Serum isolated from whole blood by standard centrifugational methods was either stored at 4°C (and used within 1 week) or aliquoted and stored at -20°C. The samples were categorized into one of three groups: (i) no declared allergies; (ii) miscellaneous reactions (i.e. non-plant allergens, such as dust, adhesive tape, synthetic materials and the like); or (iii) classical Type I allergies to plant pollens.

Expression and purification of ZmPRO1 profilin

Pre-thawed competent BL21 (DE3) *Escherichia coli* cells (Novagen Inc., Madison, WI, USA) were transformed with ZmPRO1/pET-23a by a modified protocol from the manufacturer and essentially as described for plants (Vrtala, *et al*, 1996; Susani, 1995; Karakesisoglou, 1996) and human profilins (Gieselmann *et al*, 1995). The DNA content and quality from lysates of various transformed *E. coli* clones were analyzed by standard spectrophotometric measurement (i.e. 260 nm, concentration; 260 nm/280 nm, relative nucleotide purity versus protein) and agarose (0.7%) gel electrophoresis. The *E. coli* clones expressing the highest concentrations of ZmPRO1 cDNA were selected for profilin production.

Transformed *E. coli* initially grown in 10 mL L-broth (in g/L: 10 tryptone, 5 bacto yeast extract, 10 NaCl + 0.15 ampicillin) at 37°C for 10 h were brought to a final 1 L volume of L-broth and incubated for an additional 2 h (37°C, gentle mixing at 100 r.p.m.) prior to the addition of either IPTG (0.4 mmol/L final concentration) or vehicle for an additional 6 h incubation. The cultures were centrifuged (1000 g for 30 min at 22°C) to yield pellets that were resuspended in 5 volumes of ice-cold lysis buffer (0.01% Triton X-100, 2 µmol/L leupeptin, 1 µmol/L aprotinin, 0.2 µmol/L pepstatin, 5 mmol/L Tris-HCl, pH 7.2) and sonicated (continuous output control setting 2 x 10 s, Sonifier cell disruptor, Branson Sonic Power Co., Danbury, CT,

USA). The lysates were centrifuged (12 000 g for 30 min at 4°C) and supernatant poured onto poly(L-proline)-sepharose 4B (i.e. PLP bead) affinity column, as described previously (Babich *et al.*, 1996; Janmey, 1991). Briefly, a step-wise elution gradient with urea was used to collect and purify profilin for overnight dialysis (at 5 4°C) against 2 mmol/L N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-N'-2-ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), pH 7.2/0.1 mmol/L CaCl₂ and concentration by centrifugation (centriplus-3, Amicon Inc., Beverly, MA, USA) to a final concentration of approximately 1 mg/mL, which was stored at -20°C. In some cases, ZmPRO1 profilin was isolated by a co-incubation of *E coli* lysate: PLP bead slurry (1:4 vol; 4-16 h at 4°C, gentle 10 shaking), followed by centrifugation to pellet and wash the profilin-PLP bead complexes (3 times with 100 mmol/L NaCl, 100 mmol/L glycine, 0.01 mmol/L DTT, 10 mmol/L Tris base, pH 7.8). The final pellet was suspended and boiled in sample buffer, with or without β-mercaptoethanol (BME).

Proteins isolated by either PLP bead slurry (e.g. FIG. 1; initial purification and 15 validation that profilin was made in *E. coli*) or column chromatography were analyzed by standard silver-stained sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE; 15% acrylamide) techniques. Profilin was further characterized by western immunoblotting, as previously described (Babich *et al.*, 1996). The immunoblot was developed by incubation with rabbit anti-ZmPRO1 primary antibody 20 (1:1000) and goat antirabbit secondary antibody conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (1:500). Proteins were visualized with either a fluorescent substrate or enhanced metal substrate (Super Signal or metal diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB), Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, IL, USA).

Anti-profilin antibody development

25 Profilin antibodies were made against either recombinant or native profilin as described (Babich, et al., 1996; Staiger et al., 1993). After affinity column purification and SDS-PAGE electroelution, the profilin was conjugated with adjuvant (RIBI Immunochemical Research, Inc., Hamilton, MT) and injected into ten discrete locations (per RIBI protocol) into New Zealand White rabbits. Rabbit serum anti-profilin IgG was

10084138-022702

purified by thiophilic adsorption chromatography (Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, IL) to yield an average peak fraction concentration of 4.5 mg IgG/ml. Anti-profilin IgG antibodies were screened on Western immunoblots containing antigen.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbant assay for human IgE-ZmPRO1 profilin detection

Purified ZmPRO1 product (50 ng/well) or a control vehicle [tris-buffered saline (TBS), pH 7.4] was added to designated wells of a 96-well immunoassay plate (Immulon-2, Dynatech Laboratories Inc., Chantilly, VA, USA) that was stored overnight at 4 °C. The general sequence to develop the appropriate wells for the ELISA was as follows: (i) block non-specific sites (4% non-fat powdered milk, 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA), 0.02% NaN₃ in TBS, 20% SuperBlock from Pierce Chemical Co. for 2 h at 4 °C); (ii) 1 x TBS wash and incubate with either serum samples or a control vehicle (TBS or heat-inactivated fetal calf serum; overnight at 4 °C); (iii) discard samples and add either TBS to sample wells or primary rabbit IgG antiplant profilin (1:1000 dilution in TBS, 0.01% Tween-20, 0.01% BSA for 1.5h) into control wells to ensure ZmPRO1 profilin coating; and (iv) 1 x TBS wash of all wells, add appropriate secondary antibodies (either goat antihuman IgE-HRP in wells that contained serum or goat antirabbit IgG-HRP in control wells; 1:500 dilutions for 2 h at 4 °C). The plate was extensively washed then developed using 2,2'-azino-bis (3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) as a colorimetric method substrate (although other established HRP substrates were also successful in preliminary work) and optical densities were measured with a microtiter platereader ($\lambda_{570\text{nm}}$). Serial dilution assay of profilin standards indicated that the assay was linear between 0.1 and 100 ng additions of profilin per well. Serum samples were considered reactive with profilin (*i.e.* positive) if there was at least one standard deviation difference between the optical densities obtained from the wells containing profilin versus those without profilin. Serum identified as positive gave a linear increase in signal intensity of concentrations between 10 and 100%.

Dot-filtration immunoblot

In some instances, a dot filtration immunoblot assay (Bio Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) using supported nitrocellulose (0.2 μ m pore size) was a necessary alternative to the ELISA to determine the allergenic potential of ZmPRO1 monomers versus multimers. Profilin was either placed under reducing (4.5% BME at 95 C for 3 min) or non-reducing conditions (to favor monomeric or multimeric conditions, respectively) and subsequently allowed to adhere to the dot immunoblot (50 ng/well for 2 h) prior to vacuum filtration to remove the medium from the membrane. The BME was then removed by thorough washing with TBS and the dot immunoblot was developed with antibodies similar to the ELISA method, but with an enhanced metal DAB as the HRP substrate. Quantitative values for the intensity of immunorecognition (*i.e.* darkness) were obtained by computer scanning the dotfiltration immunoblot and using Adobe Photoshop (Adobe systems, San Jose, CA, USA) software program (under histogram, black channel). The average brightness value was obtained from the fixed number of pixels (486) that covered each dot and the corresponding darkness value was calculated by 100 x the inverse of the brightness (*i.e.* increased relative value represents increased darkness or immunoreactivity). Comparisons between the means of different treatments were made by Student's *t*-test (Sokal and Rohlf, 1981).

20 Methods of Administration to Hyposensitize or Desensitize a Mammal

The present invention covers the use of profilin polypeptide allergens, *e.g.* a fragment of multimer profilin, to hyposensitized or desensitize a mammal. Such polypeptides can be administered to a mammal either alone or in combination with pharmaceutically acceptable carriers or diluents, in accordance with standard pharmaceutical practice.

The method of hyposensitization involves, the successive parenteral, oral, nasal, inhalant or rectal administration of incremental doses of profilin. The term parenteral as used herein includes subcutaneous, intravenous or intramuscular injections.

- A range from approximately 1 picogram to 10 milligrams per application dose can be used as an “effective dose.” However, the amount and number of administrations sufficient to produce clinical effectiveness as measured by reduced IgE-related symptoms; diagnostic use or dosage is the amount which is sufficient to
- 5 produce, or include in, a method to measure a reaction. The diluents and carriers are chosen by those skilled in the art according to commonly accepted clinical procedures.

20220808 10084638.022702

DOCUMENTS CITED

- Astwood JD, et al., *Nat. Biotech.* 1996; 14: 1269-73.
- Baalout S, *Eur. J. Clin. chem. Clin. Biochem.* 1996; 34: 575-7.
- Babich M., et al., *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 1996; 218: 125-31.
- 5 Carlsson L, et al., *J. Mol. Biol.* 1976; 105: 353-66.
- Gieselmann, et al., *Eur. J. Biochem.* 1995; 229: 621-8.
- Goldschmidt-Clermont PJ, Janmey PA, *Cell* 1991; 66: 419-21.
- Heiss S, et al., *Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 1996; 9B; 938-47.
- Honore, B, et al., *FEBS* 1993; 330, 151-5.
- 10 Janmey PA, *Meth. Enzymol.* 1991; 196: 92-9.
- Karakesisoglou, et al., *Cell Mot. Cyloskel.* 1996; 34; 36-47. .
- Kwiatkowski, DJ and Bruns, GAP, *J. Biol. Chem.* 1988; 263, 5910-5.
- Lassing I, Lindberg U, *Nature* 1985; 314: 472-4.
- Metzler et al., *Protein Science* 1995; 4(3):450-9.
- 15 Mittermann I, et al., *Sexual Plant Reprod.* 1998; 11: 183-91.
- Pauli G., et al., *Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 1996; 97: 1100-9.
- Psaradellis, T. *et al. Allergology Int.* 2000; 49:27-35.
- Sambrook J, Russell DW, *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*, 3rd ed.,
2001.
- 20 Sohn RH, Goldschmidt-Clermont PJ, *Bioessays* 1994; 16: 165-72.
- Sokal RR, Rohlf FJ, *Biometry*, 2nd edn. San Francisco: WH Freeman; 1981.
- Staiger CJ, et al., *Plant J.* 1993; 4: 631-41.
- Susani M., *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 1995; 215: 250-63.
- Theriot JA, Mitchison TJ, *Cell* 1993; 75; 835-8.
- 25 Valenta R., et al., *Science* 1991; 253: 557-60.
- Valenta R., Kraft D, *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 1995; 7: 751-6.
- Valenta R, et al., *J. Biol. Chem.* 1993; 268: 22 777-81.
- Valenta R., et al., *J. Exp. Med.* 1992a; 175: 377-85.
- Valenta R., et al., *Int. Arch. Allergy Immunol.* 1992b; 99: 271-3.
- 30 Vallier P, et al., *Clin. Exp. Allergy* 1995; 25: 332-9.
- Vrtala S., et al., *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 1996a; 226: 42-50.
- Vrtala S. et al., *J Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 1996b; 98: 913-21.
- Weldemann P, et al., *J. Biol. Chem.* 1996; 271: 29 915-21.
- Wellhausen A, et al., *Zeitschrift Ernahrungswissenschaft* 1996; 35: 248-355.

Inventor: Babich

Atty Docket No. 21511/92177

27

U.S. Patent No. 5,583,046.

U.S. Patent No. 5,648,242.

20220828.022702